

From: [Robert.Neely](#)
Reply To: Robert.Neely@noaa.gov
To: [Eric.Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip.Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: [Fwd: RE: [Fwd: PDXH data/studies/QM]]
Date: 01/23/2006 04:02 PM
Attachments: [Robert.Neely.vcf](#)

FYI, gents

----- Original Message -----
Subject: RE: [Fwd: PDXH data/studies/QM]
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 14:01:36 -0800
From: Corinne Severn <cgsvern@premiercorp-usa.com>
To: 'Jay Field' <Jay.Field@noaa.gov>, Robert Neely <Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>

Jay/Rob -
I did a review of the attached spreadsheet. In my copy I placed the StudyID of the matched studies. I found only 5 new studies (Rob had 18), which are:

- 2005 Portland District O&M Sediment Characterization (highlighted in blue)
 - sampling 4/28/2005 to 5/27/2005
- Characterization of Sediment and Proposed Dredge Depth, Fire Bureau Station 6 Maintenance Dredge Project (highlighted in blue)
 - sampling 4/17/2003 to 6/29/2004
- Corps Dredged Material O&M Characterization (highlighted in blue)
 - sampling 9/18/2003 to 9/25/2003
- Gasco EE/CA (highlighted in green)
 - sampling 7/19/2004 to 9/9/2005
- Terminal 4 Early Action EE/CA Report (highlighted in peach/orange [what Rob called purple?])

Let me know if you would like my version of the spreadsheet with the StudyIDs incorporated.

I checked the Portland Portal and found all these studies in a QM template (late October 2005) with the exception of the Corp Dredged Material O&M (3rd in list). In addition, the template had a study for ExxonMobil Beach Sediment Sheen Samples and some possible updates for the ODSH/EPA/ATSDR Fish Contaminant Study (tissue data only).

There is one study from the spreadsheet that we have but I found to be incomplete in our files:
- Zidell Waterfront Property RI/FS (highlighted in blue) - NOAA StudyID 82

- sampling 7/20/1995 to 9/29/2000; 44 surface and 15 subsurface samples; 4 porewater
- In the NOAA QM version, there are only 15 samples for toxicity data; no sediment chemistry.

Finally, I had difficulty matching exactly to the following study - StudyID 42 "Ross Island Phase I (Landau) with 70 surface and subsurface sediment samples from sometime in 1999 to 12/22/1999. This may match the spreadsheet item "Ross Island Phase I (Ross Island Sand & Gravel) with 41 subsurface and 4 surface sediment samples (total = 45) from 10/7/1999 to 10/28/1999. For this study, I have Landau Associates as the report author and the report title was "Phase I Remedial Investigation Ross Island Sand and Gravel Co." which appears to match the spreadsheet for the study name and reference provided. What concerns me is why we seem to have so many more samples than is indicated in the spreadsheet provided.

FYI - There is another associated study I will mention. StudyID 41 "Ross Island Phase I" with 65 surface and subsurface sediment samples from sometime in 1999 to 12/22/1999. This appears to match the spreadsheet item "Ross Island Phase I (Port)" with 20 subsurface and 41 surface and 4 reference sediment samples (total = 65) from 11/2/1999 to 4/28/2000. For this study, I have Hart Crowser as the report author, which matches the spreadsheet. I mention this only in case you are looking to figure out the possible extra samples in StudyID 42.

For the new data that I found on the Portland Portal today, we can begin the conversion later this week with a goal to complete by Feb 6th if that sounds reasonable.

Any suggestions on getting the additional data for the Zidell Waterfront Property and the Corps Dredged Material O&M Characterization? I don't know who is the Eric mentioned below. Is he with Windward? Previously, I think Tom Schulz (with Striplin then Integral) was putting together the data sets.

Corinne

----- Original Message -----
Subject: PDXH data/studies/QM
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 12:29:38 -0800
From: Robert.Neely <Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>
Reply-To: Robert.Neely@noaa.gov
To: Jay Field <Jay.Field@noaa.gov>
CC: Helen.Hillman <Helen.Hillman@noaa.gov>

Hey Jay,

Attached is a spreadsheet from LWG listing studies in their database.

Those that are highlighted are the ones that I don't believe are in QM. Question 1 is, which of these are actually in the process of being pulled into QM. Question 2, of the remainder, which of the high/medium priorities should/can we target. After speaking with Eric, his sense of priorities are as such:

Blue = high
Purple = medium
Yellow = low
Green = uncertain

2005 Portland District O&M Sediment Characterization (high)
ARCO Terminal 22T (low)
Berth 311 Dredge Data (low)
Characterization of Sediment and Proposed Dredge Depth, Fire Bureau
Station 6 Maintenance Dredging Project (high)
Col Wil Channel Deepening Reconnaissance Study (low)
Corps Dredged Material O&M Characterization (high)
Focused ESA Riedel (high)
Gasco EE/CA (uncertain -- probably material that has since been removed)
G-P Linnton Site Preliminary Assessment (high)
Organochlorine and PAH by SPMD (low)
Surface Water, Sediment, and Groundwater Sampling Report (high -- sediment)
T4 Slip 1 Berth 401 Sediment Characterization (medium; may be a higher
priority for NOAA)
Terminal 4 Early Action EE/CA Report (medium; may be a higher priority
for NOAA)
US Moorings RI Sediment Investigation (uncertain -- may be in QM with
slightly different name)
USGS - Bonn (medium)
Willamette Falls Locks 1996 Flood Deposits Sediment Quality Evaluation
(high)
Zidell Waterfront Property RI/FS (high)

--

Jay Field
Coastal Protection and Restoration Division
Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA
7600 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6349
(P) 206-526-6404
(F) 206-526-6865
(E) jay.field@noaa.gov
<http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/cpr/cpr.html>